

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,111	90,111 03/02/2004		Hirotaka Tanaka	Q80222	1148	
23373	7590	08/11/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE		PLLC IA AVENUE, N.W.	WILSON, LEE D			
SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20037		3723		
				DATE MAILED: 08/11/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			TWK					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/790,111	TANAKA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	LEE D. WILSON	3723						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	lress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, by the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•							
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the	merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iurawii iiuiii consideration.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	***	• •						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action or form P10	J-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority docur 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer	Application No	Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.						
Attachment(s)	_							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/20/04. 		Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/790,111

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. The following claims are vague, indefinite, awkwardly, and confusingly worded:
 - i. Claims 1-7 should recite method steps. The applicant should state the "comprising steps of the method:" or comprising the method having steps of:" . The applicant just needs to incorporate the idea that the method has steps.
 - ii. Claim 6 is indefinite because what is claim 1. These steps should positively recited or written so that claim 1 steps are clearly further limited by the steps of claim 6.
 - iii. Claim 7 is vague because this the method is method of production and then it appears that the claim is trying to claim the end product to be used in a use aside from the production steps. Is the applicant claiming the steps of producing the substate or the step of using the end product of the production method. It seems that the method of production is not further limited by the use of the end product and therefore does not properly limit the claim. The intended use of the chip would be left to those who purchase the chip for whatever process they wish to install it.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,111 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, and 5-7 are rejected as best under stood under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimada (6632547).
 - Shimada teaches a method comprising the steps of polishing glass substrate (col.1, 53-55 and fig.2a), a treating liquid (col.3, line 55 and col.5, 47-55 which shows a liquid having colloidal particles), a tape (col.5, 47-53), and a chemical strengthening (col.4, 45-65 and throughout the patent there are numerous other mentions.).
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected as best under stood under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saito et al (2003/0110803A1).

Saito et al teach a method comprising the steps of polishing glass substrate (astract), a treating liquid (40 and a liquid having colloidal particles), a treating liquid (pure water par.98), a tape (30), and a chemical strengthening (abstract and throughout the patent there are numerous other mentions.).

Application/Control Number: 10/790,111 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

5. Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected as best under stood under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marukawa (6315638).

Marukawa teaches a method comprising the steps of polishing glass substrate (astract), a treating liquid (slurry col.4, 31), a treating liquid (pure water col.4, 55-60), and a tape (T).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yamada and Okuyama et al disclose an invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/790,111

Art Unit: 3723

Ldw

August 8, 2005

Page 5

LEE D. WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER